

University of California, Hastings College of the Law UC Hastings Scholarship Repository

Hastings Law News

UC Hastings Archives and History

3-4-2000

Hastings Law News 2000 Vol.1 Iss.4

UC Hastings College of the Law

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uchastings.edu/hln>

Recommended Citation

UC Hastings College of the Law, "Hastings Law News 2000 Vol.1 Iss.4" (2000). *Hastings Law News*. Book 249.
<http://repository.uchastings.edu/hln/249>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the UC Hastings Archives and History at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hastings Law News by an authorized administrator of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact marcusc@uchastings.edu.



Volume 1, Issue 4
March 4, 2000

Hastings Law News

If There is to be a Hastings Community, the Students Must Have a Voice

Dente Lupus, Cornu Taurus Petii



Imran and Chad practice salsa while Larry keeps is head in the game.

Intramural Basketball Heats Up The Tower Gym...

By Josh Kaplan, 1L and Jen Swede, 1L

The Hastings Intra-mural basketball league is in its third week of Spring Semester play, and is riding high on the fever of enthusiastic participation by a record number of teams - 18 at last count. The games take place in the Tower Gym on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday at 7p.m., 8p.m. and 9p.m.

The league is co-ed, four person minimum and for the most part comprised of Hastings students, and yes, for the most part, they play like law students. Several teams have chosen to recruit beyond the walls of Hastings, as some talent does not play with a law student's flair for noting rule infractions.

As Derek Johnson, a member of last semester's undefeated championship team noted, "Some people take the games very seriously down there, but the sooner they realize that a repeat [championship] is imminent, they'll realize it's just a game."

Uduak Oduok, 2L, one of a handful of female participants, points out that despite stereotypes, playing in the league is fun, but can be frustrating. "Folks make 'silent' stereotypes about your play as a woman but are shocked when you swat the ball, play great defense or straight score on them."

MADNESS

An occasional source of controversy is due to a lack of referees. The score, fouls, and time are kept by members of the competing teams. Thus disputes arise as unanimous acceptance of the calls is like unanimous supreme court decisions. While the players apply their newly found lawyering skills to the resolution of these disputes, the games have been known to get somewhat out of hand.

Another complaint is that there is a lack of fan support. As one player commented, "They should take advantage of the free admission to see such basketball prowess."



Josh, Gabe, Andy, Geoff, Jason, and Imran want you to come watch some basketball games.

Whether the Intra-mural Basketball competition demonstrates prowess or just looks like a sweatier moot court competition is debatable. Regardless, if you want March Madness that is close to home (or in your home, Tower residents) come down to the Tower Gym.

Death Penalty Appeals Advocate Visits Campus

On Wednesday, February 23, Debra Sabah, Hastings Class of 1998, returned to campus to speak about her work on death penalty appeals in Mississippi.

Sabah is the Co-Director of the Mississippi Post-Conviction Counsel Project (MPCCP), which she founded in 1998 after graduating from Hastings. Through the MPCCP she and the other Co-Director, Craig Snell, provide counsel for individuals on Mississippi's Death Row, as well as consult for other attorneys who handle post-conviction appeals.

During her 45 minute talk with students, Sabah stressed how much she loves her work and her clients and how it was always her dream to become an attorney working on death penalty appeals. "If you want to do public interest work, don't lose sight of that dream," she said.

Sabah spoke about the daunting task of starting up with a grant and little else when she drove cross country in the summer of 1998. Very quickly she was hip deep in death penalty appeals in a state that until recently, thanks in large part to Sabah's efforts, did not provide post-conviction

INSIDE THIS ISSUE:

- LOOKYER - THE PEOPLE'S LAWYER	PAGE 2
- EDITORIALS - SAME SEX MARRIAGE	PAGE 6
STUDENTS WITH DIABILITIES	PAGE 7
- SECTION FILES	PAGE 8
- BEST OF HASTINGS SURVEY	PAGE 8
- DEAR BUDDY	PAGE 5

EYE ON ASUCH



ASUCH is working hard on two big projects: examining student activity fees and re-writing the ASUCH Constitution. More in the next issue.

Also, ASUCH elections are coming in March - start thinking about running now. Finally, ASUCH has openings for 2L Reps. Stop by the ASUCH office for more details.

HLJ Symposium Revisits Watergate

On February 5, the Hastings Law Journal held their 2000 Symposium.

The symposium revisited Watergate and examined the effects it has had on the American consciousness over the past thirty years.

John W. Dean III, White House Counsel to President Nixon, gave the opening remarks and the day proceeded with three panels discussing Ethics, Public Corruption and Watergate

The closing remarks were given by the Hon. Kenneth Starr, Independent Counsel, Clinton Investigation. Professor Rory Little moderated the event.



The Honorable Kenneth Starr

THE "PEOPLE'S LAWYER" VISITS CAMPUS

By Stephen Brundage, 3L

California Attorney General Bill Lockyer made a special appearance at Hastings on February 10. During a two hour talk with students, Lockyer discussed everything from the workings of his office to gun control. For the first hour, Professor David Jung provided the questions and Lockyer provided some interesting answers.

The Attorney General outlined the priorities of his office and progress made towards those goals in his first year in office. He said his number one priority is to contemporize the forensic labs, particularly in the area of DNA testing. He also said his office is focusing new



Attorney General Bill Lockyer

resources in the areas of civil rights and consumer protection. Since taking office last January, Lockyer has expanded the civil rights division to become the largest in the country - with seven full time attorneys. In the area of consumer protection, the legislature gave him the first budget expansion in forty years. One item he is particularly concerned about in this area is the outrageous price of gasoline in California.

During the second hour, students took the opportunity to ask questions, including some on the topic of jobs. When asked if California should consider a moratorium on the use of the death penalty, Lockyer said he was opposed to it and that he had the utmost faith in California juries to make the right decisions.

He said he was opposed to the passage of Proposition 21 - the juvenile justice initiative introduced by former Governor Pete Wilson. Proposition 21 would make it easier for local DA's to prosecute violent youth in adult court and toughen sentences for gang related crimes. The Attorney General said he thought that Proposition 21 would "eviscerate" probation programs for juveniles.

Lockyer took time to answer a few questions about job prospects with his office and wrapped up by saying just how much he enjoyed being the Attorney General. "It is really a ball...It is fun!"

"Freedom cannot flourish anywhere oranges grow" - Montesquieu
Lockyer threw out this gem when referring to Orange County.

"Maybe that's why Lungren concentrated on criminal matters - they don't donate."

- In response to a question about why Lockyer thought his predecessor failed to concentrate office resources on consumer protection and civil rights.

"California is the meth hub of western civilization."

- Referring to one of the major drug problems in the state.

"I'm the People's lawyer."

- Responding to a question on why his office would concentrate on consumer protection

OH, WELL, I JUST ASK THEM,
"WHAT DO YOU THINK THE HOLDING SHOULD BE?"
AND THAT KILLS ABOUT 25 TO 30 MINUTES.



COMMITTEE LOOKS AT GRADING

By Stephen Brundage, 3L

The *Academic Standards Committee*, made up of both students and faculty, is in the midst of examining the grade normalization procedures used at Hastings.

Grade normalization, otherwise known as "the curve", is the statistical means by which schools ensure uniformity and fairness in grading. According to Academic Dean Leo Martinez, the guidelines are there to make sure that across sections grades are distributed fairly. Hastings grade normalization guidelines recommend that in all GPA course, 60 to 75 percent of all grades be B- and above. For all other upper division classes, 10 to 20 percent of the grades should be in the A range. In first year courses, 5 to 15 percent of the grades should be in the A range.

The Committee is looking at how other schools use grade normalization, particularly those schools that Hastings competes with in the job market. Also, the Committee has been working with the Career Services office to get a feel for how firms view the use of grades and alternative grading systems. It appears the overriding main impetus the review of the grading policy is to help minimize the negative perception of competitiveness at Hastings, as well as keep Hastings on par with other schools, like UCLA, Boalt, and Stanford. One criticism of the curve has been that with a B- curve, Hastings students are at a disadvantage in the hiring process to students from schools with a B curve.

In addition to grade normalization standards, the Committee is examining how and when class standing is calculated. Currently, class standing is calculated in 5 percentage point increments. Many schools only give class standing rankings for students in the top 10 or 25 percent. One proposal being considered is a ranking system which makes breaks at the 10, 25, and 50 percentiles. In the coming weeks, the Committee will continue to re-examine the current grading policies with the goal of presenting recommendations to the faculty later in the semester.

DEATH PENALTY

CONT. FROM PG. 1

counsel for condemned felons.

Since 1998, Sabah and Snell have worked on dozens of cases, mostly in Mississippi. She said she was appalled by the lack of adequate counsel for capital cases in Mississippi. Often, attorneys that are appointed have little or no criminal defense background. Surprisingly, she said, the Mississippi Supreme Court reverses forty percent of the capital cases it reviews.

While Sabah spoke at some length about the ins and outs of her works, the main message she wanted to impart to the audience was the importance of sticking to your goals.

She told students that despite her lack of experience in the field and the many obstacles in her way, she achieved success through persevering. And the true measure of that is the fact that through the efforts of MPCCP, Mississippi now provides funding for a post-conviction counsel and is working hard to create a post-conviction appeals office.

Next issue: Will Innocence Project Come to Hastings?



Dear Mom,^{mother}
How are you? My ^{first} year
has been going ^{well} extremely smoothly.
^{wordy} Best of all, I have been ^{learned} taught how
to write like a fancy lawyer. See, ^{Compare} Moot Ct. Brief at 1, 2;
Compare LWR Memorandum.
There is ^{love} No time to talk. ^{your} Filed by your daughter,
Jill



T.A. Comments

HASTIES

Murder at Hastings! One by one, law students meet their maker while working on the Hastings Law Journal. Truth or fiction? You decide. "Where Lawyers Fear To Tread" is a novel by Hastings graduate Lia Matera and is available in the Hastings Bookstore.

Rating = O.K.
(on of a scale of Great, O.K., Ick.)

BLSA'S EVENING OF SOUL

- JP, 3L

On Thursday, February 24th, the Black Law Students Association (BLSA) hosted their annual "Evening of Soul." Despite a struggle to secure funding, the evening was a success. BLSA President Angela Houleard and Vice President Matt Bowman and Adelmise Warner helped to organize the annual event, which began three years ago. Students and several prominent members of the Bay Area's black community, including Federal Court Judge Jenkins attended.

The evening began with 3L Akili Nickson singing the Black National Anthem... (Lift every voice and sing...). Professional model and 2L Uduak Oduok organized the fashion show, which featured the high fashion designs of James Head,

black designer whose clothes have been featured on the cover of *Essence*, *Ebony*, and *Rolling Stone* magazines. Laurey, Velvar, and Uduak worked the runway.

Music included a Blues Band and two songs written and professionally recorded by Akili Nickson. Another crowd pleaser was the spoken word of Curtis V who wasn't shy about articulating his message: getting "Black to Basics." The talented Maisha Simmons, 2L also gave a poetry recitation.

When asked about the fact that the crowd was largely non-African American, Uduak answered, "Black history month is not for black people to educate themselves, but to educate others about black people."



Cabaret 2000



§ FILES

By Jen Swede, 1L

In the spirit of the upcoming Academy Awards, I thought that 1Ls could use a little performance related recognition. While these awards may not be as coveted as the Oscar (i.e. they are completely valueless) the § recognizes the importance of the little people (not those vertically challenged) on campus: 1Ls.

Note: Criterion used in the selection process included all relevant sensationalistic gossip and quality of rumors overheard during lunch. Awards were based on data gathered and synthesized from a highly non-representative survey sample of 1Ls.

And the § goes to...

Best Exam Writing:

Section One. The quality of exams turned in by "One" was so out of this world last semester that a professor questioned whether one student "took the test on Mars." No other section received such consistently hilarious remarks and cut downs from their professors. One professor even recommended an excellent course in study skills at Golden Gate University to a formerly B earning student. Obviously Section One exhibits what law school is truly about: helping professors come to terms with what they really wanted to do with their lives: become comedians.

Best On-Campus Romance:

Section Three. There is no doubt that love is in the air, or at least in Classroom F on Friday afternoons. As far as on campus romance, "Three" proves that even in 2000 you can still find a date without the help of an AOL chatroom. It is not unlikely to find one of "Three's" many celebrity couples kissing in the hallway, career center, bookers, law café, reading room, on the beach... it leaves the rest of us wondering if they ever stop to study anything but each other?

Best Mystery:

Section Four. Who are these people?

Best Supporting Role:

Section Five. Unlike Section Four, people in Section Five actually exist and even better, claim that they co-exist peacefully. This supportive group of students defy the competitive spirit of law school and quite frankly, this makes their section just plain dull. "Five" might be considered the "nice" section (if law school has one). Section Five serves as a reminder to those in other sections that no matter how terrible their own section seems at times, law school could be worse, they could be in Section Five.

Best Drama:

Section Two. As the overwhelming favorite going into competition, "Two" dazzled even the skeptical gossips with so many juicy moments over the course of the semester. Section Two represents all that is bad (and really entertaining) about law school. 1Ls sectionwide were astonished at the rumor that one sectionmate hacked into the intranet and plastered his Tower walls with everyone's final exam grades. If this hacker is so good maybe Hastings should hire him to do something more productive, like update the embarrassingly hideous Hastings website. Fittingly, Professor Roht-Arriaza added to the drama when she skipped the country and left no forwarding address. She packed her bags full of the usual sundries- comb, toothbrush, clothes, the entire section's torts exams. Students waited for their grades in nervous anticipation while Dean Kane played "Where's Waldo" in search of their absent (minded) professor.



DEAR BUDDY

Dear Buddy,

Is it true that the fabulous movie "Cabaret," starring Liza Minelli, was actually based on life at Hastings in the 1930's?

Signed,
Cabaret Curious

Dear Curious,

Yes, it's true. Before the War, Hastings used to be the center of German intellectual and artistic life in America. Hastings' Cabaret lifestyle was changed forever when the ban wearing leather underwear to class was implemented. That was the end of the Hastings Golden Era, leaving just one night a year to hint at past Cabaret glory.

Dear Buddy,

Why is the new sign on the 198 McAllister building silver rather than gold?

Signed,
Nugget

Dear Nugget,

The Administration couldn't afford gold this time. It is suspected that all the money that was thrown away on the state of the art tardy bell system (that has yet to be heard from this semester) has something to do with the new scaled-down "silver look." I hope that the next sign is not done in bronze.

Comments for Buddy? See SIC folder.

Trial Team Results

In the last issue of the Law News we reported on the formation of a new trial competition team. The team flew to Orange County for its first competition, February 3-5. The competition, sponsored by the Texas Young Lawyers Association, was a regional with schools from all over California competing. Despite their best efforts, the team did not win the competition, but did leave a strong impression on the other competitors. One team captain was overheard to say, "At least you didn't have to go against those guys from Hastings."

While the team did not advance to the finals in Dallas, the group is working hard to make sure that next year's team is in place. "We plan to hold try outs in April after the trial advocacy classes are complete," said Alex Saksen, Third Year member of the team. The team received valuable assistance from trial advocacy professors Terry Diggs and Geoff Hansen, as well as support from Toni Young in the Moot Court Department. Next year, the team plans to compete in other national competitions, including the National Trial Advocacy Competition run by the American Trial Lawyers Association.

International Perspectives on Same-Sex

Marriages

BY COLIN GALLAGHER, 3L

In an essay entitled "Economics and Homosexuality", Judge Richard Posner says that, even if long-term monogamous gay relationships existed, judges cannot ignore the prevailing prejudices regarding homosexuality to recognize same-sex marriages.

During a question and answer session with Hastings students last month, Supreme Court Justice Anthony Kennedy echoed similar concerns and voiced his opinion that European courts were moving too far ahead of public opinion, perhaps ignoring 2000 years of Judeo-Christian tradition regarding homosexuality.

Nevertheless, the highest courts in a number of jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom, Canada and South Africa, have ignored societal animus against homosexuals. Courts in Australia and New Zealand have recognized the succession rights of cohabiting gay and lesbian partners. Additionally, legislatures in France, the Netherlands and the Scandinavian countries have offered homosexual couples domestic partnerships that include almost all of the responsibilities and benefits of marriage, and are called "gay marriages" by most citizens of those countries. In Hungary, the Parliament has given homosexual couples the rights of common-law married couples. Despite the signature of the Defense of Marriage Act in 1996 by President Clinton and the likely passage of the Knight Initiative in California, there is an emerging consensus among international legal systems that long-term same sex relationships are entitled to the same protection and responsibilities accorded to heterosexual relationships.

In a decision called *Fitzpatrick v. Sterling Housing Association*, the United Kingdom House of Lords granted the male partner of a disabled man the right to succeed his deceased partners' interest as a tenant in his apartment.

Because such succession rights were routinely granted to unmarried heterosexual cohabitants, the Lords felt obligated to extend the same rights to same-sex cohabitants also sharing a sexual relationship. While they conceded that "marriage" is a gender-specific term involving one man and one woman, the Lords reasoned that "family" had a "wide and elastic meaning".

"Where sexual partners are involved, whether heterosexual or homosexual, there is scope for the intimate mutual love and affection and long-term commitment that typically characterizes the relationship of husband and wife. This love and commitment can exist in same sex relationships as in heterosexual relationships."

However dissenting lords noted that Parliament had amended the Rent Act in 1988 to confine its protection to heterosexual cohabitants, and that when the Act was passed in 1920, Parliament was aware of homosexuals cohabiting permanently and chose not to include them within the statute.

Redefining "family", reasoned the dissenters, echoing Posner, was not a proper judicial function: such a function exists only "where matters of social policy are not involved which the collective wisdom of Parliament is better suited to resolve." Other commentators criticized *Fitzpatrick*, arguing that the judiciary had acted quietly as unrecognized law-makers to push British society in a direction where it did not want to go.

"Tolerance of homosexuality and sympathy for a gay man who has faithfully cared for his sick companion are one thing. The law lords' decision, though, went much further than that. They had the arrogance to redefine the family." (The Sunday Times, Nov. 14, 1999).

Other courts have come up with an economic rationale that can garner public support for recognition same-sex relationships. In *M v. H.*, a claim for common-law spousal support filed by an Ontario woman against her former female partner, the Supreme Court of Canada took note of economic considerations in extending legal recognition to same-sex relationships.

Ontario's Family Law Act was designed with the intention of protecting dependent female partners in opposite-sex relationships, but "Dependencies can and do develop irrespective of gender in intimate conjugal relationships." The legislature had enacted the Family Law Act with its spousal support provisions to shift the financial burden of support away from welfare. "Allowing wealthier partners in same-sex divorce relationship to evade support obligations, would subvert the intent of the Act and cause a financial burden to the Canadian taxpayers."

The *M v. H.* decision, seems to have been accepted by the political establishment. Although the 8-1 ruling applied only to Ontario, several Premiers said that they would change the law in their Province to comply with it. Currently the Canadian Parliament is working on nationwide domestic partnership legislation to address the *M v. H.* decision.

Churches in Canada have accepted the *M v. H.* decision. The United Church of Canada, testified in favor of spousal recognition of same-sex partnerships and the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Toronto said that while he deplored the decision, Canada should provide "basic legal protections to individuals involved in non-traditional domestic relationships." (The Nation, July 12, 1999).

Another issue rises with bi-national marriage. Without rights to immigrate as a couple, bi-national same-sex couples are forced to resort to a number of stop-gap measures and charades to remain together. So far, only a few countries have recognized the immigration rights of same-sex partners. The South African Constitutional Court did so last year.

It is unfortunate that what may be accepted by the South African legal system and society may not be a successful legal transplant to the United States. Unlike Canada, the U.K., and South Africa, the United States has a large and well-organized fundamentalist movement which is determined to block legal recognition of same-sex marriages. Judges who issue decisions extending the legal benefits and recognition enjoyed by married heterosexuals to gay and lesbian couples risk being accused of usurping the authority of elected legislatures. Other countries, like France, where domestic partnership legislation has been enacted through the legislature, critics cannot make similar accusations.

Posner is partially right when he claims that judges, like elected decision-makers, must take into account popular hostility towards a certain minority in their decisions, or risk making a decision that the political branches decide not to enforce. However, like the Vermont Supreme Court in the recent *Baker v. Vermont* case, the highest courts in Canada, the U.K. and South Africa ordered the legislature to rewrite the laws to recognize same-sex partnerships.

Hopefully, public opinion in the U.S. will be able to differentiate between marriage as a religious institution and marriage as a civil institution. By articulating a number of persuasive rationales for the recognition of same-sex partnerships, the decisions of foreign courts should offer solace to judges in the U.S. who chose to ignore the societal animus against gays and lesbians at home.

MOOT COURT SPECIAL



FOR HASTING'S STUDENTS

5¢/copy

\$1.25/velo-bind

UNITED COPY SERVICE
230 Hyde Street
673-4567

Two blocks north from school on Hyde

Accommodate Students With Disabilities By Danni Lee, 1L

There are rumors going around school: "Did you hear so-and-so gets extra time on her examinations?" "Did you hear so-and-so has someone take their disabilities on their studies at law school." Accommodations vary and include extra time on exams, note-takers, separate test taking rooms, books on audiocassette or in Braille. Some students who have learning disabilities get all their books on audiocassette. Just picture how long it would take you to read all of your homework aloud.

But, it's not as simple as walking into the disability resource center and saying, "I have a disability. Give me extra time on my exams." What students with disabilities go through to get these accommodations is far from easy. Levinia Espinas, the director of Hastings' Disability Resource Program (DRP) explained the process of how a student applies for and receives accommodations. Upon acceptance to Hastings, a new student contacts the Disability Resource Center. Sometimes other students or Hastings professors refer students to the Disability Resource Program because they think a student needs accommodations. The student then has to provide adequate documentation of his specific disability -- for example, a diagnosis of a disability from a licensed professional, or a record of past accommodations. Espinas emphasized that the proper documentation/diagnosis is very important; a student can't get accommodations without it. Even students that are obviously blind or quadriplegic still have to document their disabilities. DRP examines the student and what accommodations they're requesting. They don't have profiles already set up for different types of disabilities; the student has to devise his/her own program. After that, the DRP then informs the student what accommodations are available.

For accommodating students with disabilities, Hastings' reputation is generally good. But, what students ask for and what they get are not always the same. Espinas stressed that the reason for not standardizing students' accommodations is because the program is individually tailored to each student. However, there are no guidelines for what accommodations are warranted for each type of disability and students are told to not compare their accommodations.

The fact is, students with disabilities work hard for everything they get. Law Services and the State Bar Exam often dispute students' accommodation requests. Many students encounter resistance from teachers who don't believe in providing accommodations; and sometimes they must challenge their school administration. For example:

- A blind student had been receiving double time on examinations at college and at law school. But the state bar decided the student only needs time and a half on the bar.
- A Hastings student with a learning disability documented her diagnosis through a licensed professional. But, Law Services experts deny that the student has a disability. She may not get accommodations at all.
- A Hastings student with a disability was denied accommodations as simple as priority registration when he was in college.

Many people at Hastings, students and professors, think that accommodations for students with disabilities are unwarranted. In truth, a student with a disability doesn't have a choice -- the accommodations are a necessity. So, if students are not very open about the fact that they get accommodations or why, it's because the whole process of obtaining accommodations has been hard enough.

Hastings Law News

If there is to be a Hastings community, the students must have a voice.

Editor-In-Chief
Executive Editor
Photo Editor
Illustrator
Contributing Editors



Joan Podolsky 3L
Tawni Olson 3L
Stephen Brundage 3L
Masanori Hayashi 2L
JH Kaplan 1L, Jen Swede 1L,
Danni Lee 1L, Jim Bergman 2L

Editorial Policy Statement

The *Hastings Law News* is the monthly student newspaper of the Hastings College of the Law. Signed opinion articles, when clearly marked as such, represent the opinions the writer and not that of the College or the *Law News*. Nothing in these should be construed as the opinion of the College or any of its officials.

News, feature and opinion articles are accepted from the community at large as well as students, but publication cannot be guaranteed. Prospective opinion writers need to contact the editor-in-chief. The *Law News* welcomes letters to the editor. SIC drop letters to the *Hastings Law News* folder. Letters must be signed and include the writer's phone number. If possible materials should be submitted on disk, in Word. Long letters may be edited. Requests that names be withheld will be considered, but anonymous letters will not be printed. Advertisements are accepted at the address below. Rates upon request.

Hastings Law News
200 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

From Dialogue Comes Truth

Miscellaneous

CLASSIFIEDS

YOU ARE A 3rd YEAR STUDENT AT HASTINGS. YOU JUST TRANSFERRED IN FROM NEW YORK. YOU BROUGHT YOUR MOUNTAIN BIKE. YOU HAVE BLONDE HAIR AND BROWN EYES. THIS IS TOM FROM ERISU. WE MET ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 15. I'D LOVE TO GET IN TOUCH WITH YOU. MORE BUSH? BIKING? PLEASE EMAIL ME AT THEHSDALD@OPENTABLE.COM.

Start Running -
Race Judicata
(5k/10k) is
coming
April 16.



SPRING BREAK PHOTOS WANTED
THE LAW NEWS WANTS TO SEE WHERE YOU WENT AND WHAT YOU DID DURING SPRING BREAK. PLEASE SIC DROP US A COPY OF YOUR FAVORITE BLACKMAIL PHOTOS WITH A CAPTION BY MONDAY, MARCH 27. FINAL DEADLINE FOR WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS IS ALSO MONDAY, MARCH 27, 2000.

AND THE AWARD GOES TO...

BEST of HASTINGS

We suspect that in addition to studying, writing moot court briefs and discussing the constitutional implications of rabbit breeding, students eat, socialize and enjoy some leisure time. So, fill out this page and let us know what you do, where you go etc...

The following survey asks what you think is the BEST of HASTINGS and around the tenderloin campus.

Come on, there are no wrong answers and it's probably the easiest thing you will do all semester.

At the minimum it will give you something to do in class instead of playing computer solitaire.

Drop it in the LAW NEWS sic folder and the BEST of HASTINGS winners will be listed in the next issue.

WHERE IS THE BEST PLACE TO GO FOR:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1. A nap or to kill time between classes:
(front of 198 and 200 bldg) | 2. Coffee - in 10 min. or less : | 3. Real studying:
(Home, library, by proxy) |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| 4. Real drinking - Bar
(post or pre-exam beer): | 5. Socialize/mix n' mingle a.k.a. network: | 6. Club/ place to see and be seen: |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |

FOOD -Top two in each category:

- | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--|
| 1. Breakfast/Donuts: | 2. Lunch: | 3. "Fine" dining:
(cloth napkins, silverware, tips) |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |

HASTINGS BEST:

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Top 3 school sponsored activities:
(BOB, Ice Cream Social, Blood drive) | 2. Top 3 sources of entertainment:
(internet, arcade, professors) | 3. Professor - pick only 3: |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| | | *Bonus pick |
| 4. Bathroom (location/ambiance): | 5. View (skyroom,basement...): | 6. Non-professors (Career services/
Security/ Records) |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |
| 6. Kept secret: | 7. Vending machines: | 8. Non-GPA course ("Easy A"): |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |

IN CLASS - BEST WAY TO...:

- | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Avoid being called on: | 2. Be called on: | 3. Develop a relationship: |
| _____ | _____ | _____ |

OVERALL: BEST THING(s) ABOUT HASTINGS

Is that your FINAL answer?